

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/826,938	Applicant(s) MIZUNO, ATSUSHI	
	Examiner Li B. Zhen	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Edward A. Kmett

(2) Li B. Zhen
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2007
Time: 1:30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that claims 12-19 are allowed and claims 1-7,9,10 would be allowed if independent claims 1, 7, 9 and 10 are amended to incorporate the same features as independent claims 12 and 16. Applicant agreed to the changes and authorized examiner to amend claims 1,7,9 and 10 in an examiner's amendment..